UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,382	09/30/2003	Corinne Bortolin	16222U-016700US	9159	
	7590 05/16/201 TOWNSEND & STO	Corinne Bortolin 16222U-016700US 9159 **TOCKTON LLP/VISA** ER, 8TH FLOOR LASTRA, DANIEL ART UNIT PAPER NUM: 3688	IINER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111		LASTRA, DANIEL			
SAIN FRAINCIS	CO, CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3688	3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,382	BORTOLIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL LASTRA	3688	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 21-32,34-37 and 39-52 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 21-32, 34-37 and 39-52 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a pplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in a prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 21-32, 34-37 and 39-52 have been examined. Application 10/676,382 (SYSTEM AND APPARATUS FOR LINKING MULTIPLE REWARDS PROGRAMS TO PROMOTE THE PURCHASE OF SPECIFIC PRODUCT MIXES) has a filing date 09/30/2003.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to Non Final Rejection filed 11/09/10, the Applicant filed an Amendment on 03/07/11, which amended claims 21, 32 and added new claims 49-52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-23, 25-26, 28-29, 32, 35-36, 39, 41-44 and 46-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Fowler</u> (US 2002/0026348) in view of <u>Fernandez</u> (US 2001/0016827).

Claims 21, 32 Fowler teaches:

A method comprising: receiving information at a host server computer about a first pre-existing reward program created by a first merchant, wherein the first pre-

existing offline reward program provides for a first reward when a first product is purchased at the first merchant (see paragraphs 19-20);

receiving information at the host server computer about a second pre-existing reward program created by a second merchant, wherein the second pre-existing reward program provides a second reward when a second product is purchased at the second merchant (see paragraph 31); and

using the host server computer, providing for a combination reward program that is linked to the first pre-existing reward program and the second pre-existence reward program and that provides a combination reward that is based on the purchase of at least the first product and the second product, wherein the combination reward program is provided by a reward sponsor, wherein the first merchant is different than the second merchant (see paragraph 26-31), and wherein the combination reward is an award in addition to the first award and the second award and is given to a consumer in addition to the first award and the second award if the consumer uses a portable consumer device to purchase the first product at the first merchant at a first location and to purchase the second product at the second merchant at a second location (See paragraphs 26-31, 83, 103 "multiple awards programs may be operated based on a single qualifying transaction"; paragraph 80 "multiple benefits from a number of marketing programs may be awarded");

wherein the portable consumer device includes a dynamic data field that may be updated based on a product preference of the consumer (see paragraph 83-85, 90 "a

Art Unit: 3688

point of transaction may also be a PDA" or the use of a smartcard which are updated with current loyalty information such a current points, lifetime cumulative points").

Fowler does not teach and wherein the first pre-existing offline reward program is capable of running without being in contact with the host server computer, and wherein the second pre-existing offline reward program is capable of running without being in contact with the host server computer. However, Fernandez teaches an offline loyalty program where all loyalty transaction of debiting or crediting a smart card are performed at point of sale terminal (i.e. offline) without the need to contact a host server (see paragraph 23-24, 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that because Fowler smart cards may host a transaction processing module previously hosted by a host server (see paragraph 58) and because Fowler accommodates instances when communication with host system is not possible by performing a loyalty transaction at the point of sale terminal (see paragraph 70) that Fowler would modify his invention to download loyalty parameters to POS terminals, as taught by Fernandez in order to add the feature of not needing to contact a host server (i.e. offline) in order to perform a loyalty transaction of crediting or debiting a smart card.

Claim 22, <u>Fowler</u> teaches:

providing the combination reward to the consumer at the first merchant at the first location, wherein the combination reward can be used at the second merchant at the second location (see paragraph 105).

Claim 23, <u>Fowler</u> teaches:

Art Unit: 3688

wherein the portable consumer device is a smart card and wherein the combination reward is in the form of a coupon (see paragraph 40, 83).

Claim 25, Fowler teaches:

wherein the combination reward program is created by the reward sponsor that is affiliated with the first merchant and the second merchant (see paragraph 22).

Claim 26, Fowler teaches:

wherein the combination reward program reduces or eliminates the combination reward, if a third product that is different than the first product and the second product, is purchased (see paragraph 19).

Claim 28, Fowler teaches:

wherein the one or more digital computers comprises a server computer, and wherein the method further comprises:

sending code for the combination reward program to a first access device operated by the first merchant and a second access device operated by the second merchant (see paragraph 28).

Claim 29, Fowler teaches:

wherein the first product and the second product are made by the same manufacturer (See paragraph 82 "brand").

Claim 35, Fowler teaches:

wherein the combination reward program is created by the host organization that is affiliated with the first merchant and the second merchant (see paragraph 22).

Claim 36, Fowler teaches:

Art Unit: 3688

wherein the combination reward program reduces or eliminates the combination reward, if a third product that is different than the first product and the second product, is purchased (see paragraph 19).

Claim 39, Fowler teaches:

wherein the method further comprises:

sending code for the combination reward program to a first access device operated by the first merchant and a second access device operated by the second merchant (see paragraph 53-54).

Claims 41-42, Fowler teaches:

wherein the combination reward is of greater value than the first reward or the second reward (See paragraph 75).

Claim 43, Fowler teaches:

wherein the host server computer is hosted by a credit card processing company (see paragraph 19 "institution").

Claim 44 Fowler teaches:'

wherein the reward sponsor is a credit, debit, or smart card issuer (see paragraph 65 "merchant is an institution").

Claim 46 Fowler teaches:

wherein the portable consumer device is a mobile phone with a microprocessor that communicates with a card acceptance device (See paragraph 68).

Claim 47 Fowler teaches:

wherein each portable consumer device is associated with a different consumer (See paragraph 17).

Claim 48 Fowler teaches:

wherein each portable consumer device is embossed with consumer identification information (see paragraph 17).

Claim 49, Fowler teaches:

customizing future reward redemption and accumulation based on the product preference of the consumer (see paragraph 82, 86 "providing added benefit based upon customer behavior or preference and custom coupon such as discounts on present or future transactions").

Claim 50, Fowler teaches:

wherein the combination reward is provided to the consumer via a reduction in the price of the second product (see paragraph 82, 86 "providing added benefit based upon customer behavior or preference and custom coupon such as discounts").

Claim 51, Fowler teaches:

customizing future reward redemption and accumulation based on the product preference of the consumer (see paragraph 82, 86 "providing added benefit based upon customer behavior or preference and custom coupon such as discounts on present or future transactions").

Claim 52, Fowler teaches:

wherein the combination reward is provided to the consumer via a reduction in the price of the second product (see paragraph 82, 86 "providing added benefit based

Art Unit: 3688

upon customer behavior or preference and custom coupon such as discounts on present or future transactions").

4. Claims 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Fowler</u> (US 2002/0026348) in view of Ryan (US 2005/0055272).

Claim 24, <u>Fowler</u> does not teach:

wherein the combination reward is an extension of time to receive at least one of the first reward and the second reward. However, Ryan teaches that it is old and well known in the promotion art to extend the expiration date of a reward (i.e. cash value coupon) when said reward is offered in combination with another reward (i.e. joint a private club as a reward) (see paragraph 52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Fowler would offer coupon rewards with an extension of time, when said coupon rewards are offered with a combination of another product, as the claimed invention is simply a combination of old elements and in the combination each element would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

5. Claims 27, 34, 37 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Fowler</u> (US 2002/0026348) in view of Nerger Monika, <u>Evening the odds: CRM systems are driving sophisticated loyalty programs at Las Vegas Casinos - and not just for the high rollers</u>, March 1, 2002.

Claims 27, 34 and 37, Fowler does not teach:

Art Unit: 3688

wherein the combination reward gives the consumer access to a special program earlier than another consumer that has not purchased the first product and the second product. However, Evening the odds teaches that it is old and well known in the promotion art to have loyalty programs where a customer receives preferential treatment, such as access to transportation earlier in time than other customers (i.e. not need to wait in line) when said customer earned enough loyalty points to achieve a specific tier level (see page 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Fowler would offer a customer that has purchased two products access to special programs earlier than other customers that had not purchased said two products and had not earned enough loyalty points, as the claimed invention is simply a combination of old elements and in the combination each element would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Claim 45, Fowler does not teach:

wherein the special program is a reward program provided by a credit card processing company. However, Evening the odds teaches that it is old and well known in the promotion art to have loyalty programs (i.e. payment card or loyalty card) where a customer receives preferential treatment, such as access to transportation earlier in time than other customers (i.e. not need to wait in line) when said earned enough loyalty points to achieve a specific tier level (see page 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to

Art Unit: 3688

know that <u>Fowler</u> would offer a customer that has purchased two products access to special programs earlier than other customers that had not purchased said two products and had not earned enough loyalty points, as the claimed invention is simply a combination of old elements and in the combination each element would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

6. Claims 30-31 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler (US 2002/0026348) in view of Postrel (US 6,594,640).

Claims 30-31, Fowler teaches:

wherein the portable consumer device is a smartcard (see paragraph 83) but does not expressly teach that conforms to ISO standard 7816, wherein the smartcard includes a dynamic data field that is updated each time the first, second, and combination reward programs accumulate or redeem rewards. However, Postrel teaches that it is old and well known in the promotion art to stored rewards from a loyalty program in smart cards (see col 9, lines 55-65) and Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to have smart cards that conforms to ISO standard 7816. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Fowler would store rewards on smart cards, as taught by Postrel that conforms to ISO standard 7816, as the claimed invention is simply a combination of old elements and in the combination each element would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/07/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the prior arts do not teach "wherein the combination reward is an award in addition to the first award and the second award and is given to a consumer in addition to the first award and the second award if the consumer uses a portable consumer device to purchase the first product at the first merchant at a first location and to purchase the second product at the second merchant at a second location". The Examiner answers that Fowler teaches in paragraphs 26-31, 83, 103 "multiple awards programs may be operated based on a single qualifying transaction"; paragraph 80 "multiple benefits from a number of marketing programs may be awarded". Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, Fowler teaches multiple awards which can be a third award in addition of a first and a second award.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3688

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-

6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, JOHN WEISS can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The official Fax number

is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DANIEL LASTRA/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688

May 13, 2011